

МИНИСТЕРСТВО НАУКИ И ВЫСШЕГО ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ

Федеральное государственное автономное образовательное учреждение
высшего образования

«Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет»

Юридический факультет

УТВЕРЖДАЮ

Декан

юридического факультета

Бакулина Л.Т.

2022 г.



The system of assessment of the entrance test in the direction of training

40.04.01 Jurisprudence

When **evaluating** the answer, it takes into account the content completeness of the answer, its reasonableness and validity, understanding and awareness of the material presented in the answer, independence of judgments and competent execution of the answer.

The answer to the master's entrance test should be clear, specific, and as complete as possible. The answer should reflect the main theories and concepts on the disclosed issue, contain their critical analysis and comparison. The respondent must correctly present the necessary legal phenomena, confirming the theoretical provisions described by examples from practice, including materials from judicial and other law enforcement agencies. The examinee should be able to formulate their own point of view, correctly argue their position on the disclosed issue. The material should be presented in a good professional language, with competent use of the appropriate system of concepts and terms.

The response of an applicant to the master 's program is evaluated on the

following **scale of points**:

Points	Evaluation criteria
95-100	Given a full and correct answer to all questions and provided detailed legal argument, identifying the relevant law and jurisprudence; in response, no errors and inaccuracies; characterized by different theoretical positions on the issues; formulated and properly argued own point of view, including an attested examples of law enforcement practices; the material is presented in good professional language, using an appropriate system of concepts and terms; demonstrated fluency in professional terminology; the answer is generally distinguished by a high level of theoretical knowledge and ability to use them to solve professional tasks.
85-94	Given a full and correct answer to all questions and provided detailed legal argument, identifying the relevant law and jurisprudence; in response, no errors and inaccuracies; named (but not described) of different theoretical positions on the issues; formulated and argued own point of view; the material is presented in a professional language, using an appropriate system of concepts and terms; demonstrated fluency in professional terminology; the answer is generally distinguished by a high level of theoretical knowledge and ability to use them to solve professional tasks.
84-75	This proper and sufficient answers to all the questions; the legal argument, identifying the relevant law and jurisprudence; in response, no gross errors and inaccuracies; provided theoretical positions on the issues, but without proper reasoning; the author's point of view; the material is presented in a professional language, using appropriate terms and concepts; demonstrated knowledge of professional terminology; the answer is generally distinguished by a high level of theoretical knowledge and ability to use them to solve professional tasks.
74-60	All questions are answered; there is a legal argument indicating the relevant legal norms; there are no gross errors and inaccuracies in the answer; one's own point of view is given; the material is presented in professional language using appropriate concepts and terms; proficiency in professional terminology is demonstrated; a sufficient level of theoretical knowledge is shown and the ability to use them to solve professional problems.

59-40	Answers to all questions; there is a legal argument by showing some of the law; in response, errors and inaccuracies; not the author's point of view; the material is presented in everyday language without the use of an appropriate system of concepts and terms; demonstrated insufficient knowledge of professional terminology; the low level of theoretical knowledge and ability to use them to solve professional tasks.
39-0	The correct answer is not given; there is no or almost no legal argument, legal norms are not specified; gross mistakes are made in the answer; one's own point of view is not presented; the material is presented in ordinary language without using the appropriate system of concepts and terms; it is not demonstrated proficiency in professional terminology; shows a low level of theoretical knowledge and the ability to use it to solve professional problems; the task was not completed.

The entrance test is considered passed if the applicant scored
40 points or higher

The entrance test is considered failed if the applicant has scored
39 points or less.